PROCEEDINGS

CAMERON PARISH POLICE JURY
SPECIAL MEETING

SEPTEMBER 21, 2026

The Cameron Parish Police Jury met in special session on Monday, September 21, 2026, in the North Cameron Emergency Operations Center Board Room in the Village of Grand Lake, Louisiana, at 3:00 P.M. The following members were present: Mr. Michael Fewell, Mr. Magnus McGee, Mr. Wendell Wilkerson, Mr. Ronald Nunez, Jr., Mr. Mark Daigle, Mr. Sam Bordelon, and Mr. Johnny Watson. No member was absent.

It was moved by Mr. Bordelon, seconded by Mr. Wilkerson, and carried, the board hereby tables agenda item 4 resolution for:

a. Authorize reinstatement of Industrial Development Board of the Parish of Cameron, Inc.
b. Appoint Board Members (must be at least 3)
c. Appoint a Registered Agent
d. Approve Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation.

The president informed the citizens in attendance that this will now be a discussion meeting to hear concerns and answer any from the citizens.

Tom Barrett, DA gave information, explained and answered questions about an Industrial Development Board mainly pertaining to CommonWealth, LNG.

The president called for a two-minute break and asked everyone who wants to address the jury to please put you name on the paper provided. During this time Mr. Watson left the meeting, due to a family emergency.

Public comment was received on agenda item 4 from the following citizens:

- James Hiatt in opposition
- Trish Bargo didn’t comment
- Robyn Thigpen in opposition
- Caroline Bauer in opposition
- Craig Broussard in opposition
- Garrett Phelps in opposition
- Dinah Landry just listen to the people, do your research and do what is right for the community
- Elizabeth Russell in opposition
- Eddie LeJeune - in opposition and safety issues with narrow roads need to be addressed
- Vickie Conner -

After hearing all comments, the public comment period on item 4 was declared closed.

Juror Daigle stated that this matter seems to be advancing without adequate explanation or participation from the full Police Jury. Questions and emails to legal counsel have gone unanswered, while jurors have been instructed not to become involved. Mr. Sonny, whose district is affected, appears to have

limited information, as does Mr. Michael, who did not call the meeting. We were also told that the special meeting was requested because of the district 5 juror. My chief concern is Mr. Tom's assertion that he is not required to follow the Jury's direction and may negotiate agreements on his own. Any business conducted on the Jury's behalf should first be authorized by a vote of the full body. However, a signed resolution is already before us. It establishes a board consisting of two Police Jury members with two-year terms, one Police Jury member with a four-year term, one School Board member with a four-year term, and one sheriff's representative with a six-year term. I do not know whether other jurors discussed these provisions with Tom or whether the resolution is merely a draft. I was not consulted, and at least three jurors have little information about the proposal. Nevertheless, we are being asked to vote without a clear understanding of the measure or a chance to negotiate its terms. Because Grand Lake has the majority vote, its representatives can control the outcome. Mr. Tom should obtain direction from the full Jury before preparing documents. He may consult counsel as needed, but formal paperwork should not be drafted until the board has discussed the matter and established its position. Ordinarily, an item comes before the board, receives a motion and second, and is decided by majority vote. I support the public and do not believe all funds should be directed to Grand Lake. Every district has needs, and all residents pay taxes, so the money should be shared across the parish. I am concerned about being excluded from discussions involving agreements and expenditures. Improving roads in every district should be our highest priority, with public safety at the center of those efforts. Residents should also have a meaningful voice in spending decisions rather than allowing only a few jurors to decide. The current process has eroded public confidence and may jeopardize both this proposal and the consolidation tax we hope voters will approve. Even if the Jury supports these measures, they cannot succeed without the voters. These are the facts as I understand them, and I hope my remarks are received in the spirit intended. Thank you.

Juror Bordelon clarified the tax Juror Daigle referenced is not a new tax, that's existing tax they we are trying to combine.

Juror McGee expressed his thanks to Mr. Barrett for his work, because this is a bad situation for him, and you are trying to do the right thing for the people, as we are and we are trying to solve problems, that are easily identified but harder to solve. We need to keep moving forward and try to get funding up front, since they will probably get the ITEP anyway. Tis worth looking at regardless.

Mr. Barrett emphasized we don't have a lot of cards to play, the Governor holds the cards and the decision at the end of the day, but we need to make the best of the situation.

Mr. Bordelon stated for the record he does not agree with the 93/7, he likes the 80/20, because the extra 13% could do a lot for this parish, especially in the coastal protection area. Our ship channel has taken a beating; we could use the extra 13% to armor the ship channel which would help everyone.

Prior to adjourning the meeting President Fewell thanked everyone for being in attendance and reminded everyone each juror represents their people, but most importantly they are trying to do what's best for the entire parish. But we have to stop dividing this parish we need to unify this parish and stick

together to defend this parish. We need to focus on projects that will improve the districts what will in turn improve the parish as a whole. We as leaders must work together for the betterment of the entire parish not parts of the parish.

There being no further business, it was moved by Mr. Daigle, seconded by Mr. Bordelon, and carried, the special meeting was declared adjourned.

APPROVED:

_____
MICHAEL FEWELL, PRESIDENT

ATTEST:

_____
KATIE ARMENTOR, ADMINISTRATOR